Committee: Healthier Communities and Older People

Overview and Scrutiny Committee

Date: 12 February 2013

Agenda item: 8

Wards:

Subject: Merton Link update

Lead officer: Barbara Price, Chair Merton Link

Lead member: Councillor Suzanne Evans, Chair of the Healthier Communities and

Older People overview and scrutiny panel.

Contact officer: Stella Akintan, stella.akintan@merton.gov.uk; 020 8545 3390

Recommendations:

A. Panel members are asked to note and comment on the work of Merton LINk

B.

1 PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1. A update from the Chair of Merton Link setting out priority areas of work and latest activities

2 DETAILS

2.1. To ensure a close working relationship between this Panel and Merton Link, the Chair of Merton Link is a co-opted member on the Panel and will also provide verbal updates on the latest developments and key work strands within the LINk work programme.

2.2.

3 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS

The Healthier Communities and Older People Overview and Scrutiny Panel can select topics for scrutiny review and for other scrutiny work as it sees fit, taking into account views and suggestions from officers, partner organisations and the public.

Cabinet is constitutionally required to receive, consider and respond to scrutiny recommendations within two months of receiving them at a meeting.

3.1. Cabinet is not, however, required to agree and implement recommendations from Overview and Scrutiny. Cabinet could agree to implement some, or none, of the recommendations made in the scrutiny review final report.

4 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED

4.1. The Panel will be consulted at the meeting

5 TIMETABLE

5.1. The Panel will consider important items as they arise as part of their work programme for 2012/13

6 FINANCIAL. RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

6.1. None relating to this covering report

7 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

7.1. None relating to this covering report. . Scrutiny work involves consideration of the legal and statutory implications of the topic being scrutinised.

8 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS

8.1. It is a fundamental aim of the scrutiny process to ensure that there is full and equal access to the democratic process through public involvement and engaging with local partners in scrutiny reviews. Furthermore, the outcomes of reviews are intended to benefit all sections of the local community.

9 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS

9.1. None relating to this covering report. Scrutiny work involves consideration of the crime and disorder implications of the topic being scrutinised.

10 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

- 10.1. None relating to this covering report
- 11 APPENDICES THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS ARE TO BE PUBLISHED WITH THIS REPORT AND FORM PART OF THE REPORT

•

12 BACKGROUND PAPERS

12.1.